

"No Oil Change" R-22 Replacement Comparison Guide



Looking for an R-22 replacement for use in air conditioning?

Contractors have chosen Freon[™] MO99 for millions of quick, easy, and cost-effective R-22 retrofits. Freon[™] MO99 is U.S. EPA SNAP-approved, compatible with mineral oil and POE, and has the closest performance match to R-22 compared to other "no oil change" replacements. Look inside for more details!



Important Criteria to Consider When Selecting a "No Oil Change" R-22 Retrofit Refrigerant for Air Conditioning

Criteria	Why It Matters			
Performance Match • Capacity • Energy Efficiency (COP)	 Technical Considerations Capacity differences between refrigerants following a retrofit can be seen in the run time of a compressor. The greater the capacity loss, the longer the compressor will need to run to achieve the desired temperature. All "no oil change" blends designed to replace R-22 have a lower capacity; but, the closer the capacity match, the more likely the refrigerant will successfully achieve the desired cooling. When the energy efficiency (COP) of a blend is within a few percent of R-22, the end user should not experience higher energy costs as a result of the retrofit. The Bottom Line: Closer capacity to R-22 means fewer maintenance call-backs! 			
Minimize Component Changes Mass Flow Rate Suction Pressure Discharge Pressure 	 Technical Considerations Refrigerants with mass flow rates within 10-15% of R-22, with similar suction and discharge pressures, should not require any component or line set changes during retrofits. Blends with 20-30+% higher mass flow rates than R-22 are more likely to require a change of a fixed metering device (capillary tube, orifice, etc.). If these components are not changed, then the system will likely run at reduced capacity and/or high condenser pressures. When comparing R-22 with replacement blends, pressure-temperature chart comparisons are not the best indicators of performance. It is critical to look at suction and discharge pressure differences at the appropriate operating conditions. The Bottom Line: Minimizing component changes means a quicker and lower cost retrofit! 			
Compatibility with Lubricant	 Technical Considerations Use of incompatible refrigerant and oil pairings will first show up in system performance (reduced capacity and efficiency due to oil logging in piping and/or evaporator) and may also lead to compressor failure due to lack of sufficient lubrication. HFC blends with a small amount of hydrocarbon in the blend enables oil return in a system with mineral oil. Always consult manufacturer retrofit guidelines for more details. The Bottom Line: "No oil change" blends can save time and money when you follow the guidelines! 			
Is it a 400-series blend?	 Technical Considerations All refrigerant blends with an R-4XX designation should be handled differently than a single-component refrigerant. Blends should be removed from the cylinder as a liquid for system charging. The number of components in a blend is irrelevant. Whether a blend has two components or six, typical system charging, servicing, and refrigerant handling practices are the same. The Bottom Line: All blends require the same handling, regardless of the number of components! 			

<complex-block><complex-block><text><text><image>







R-421A (Choice[™]) vs. Freon[™] MO99

Refrigerant

- R-421A capacity is 12% lower than R-22, an additional 5% lower than MO99.
- Mass flow rate of R-421A is >20% higher than R-22, an additional ~10% higher than MO99.
- R-421A is a pure HFC blend with a small amount of lubricant in the cylinder.
 - Cannot ensure lubricant is evenly charged into system.
 - No hydrocarbon blend component to facilitate oil return.
- R-421A published guidelines recommend converting to POE lubricant if vertical height difference of 20 ft or more between evaporator and condenser.

WINNER = FREON[™] MO99

R-422B (NU-22B[®]) vs. Freon[™] MO99

- R-422B capacity is 12% lower than R-22, an additional 5% lower than MO99.
- Mass flow rate of R-422B is 20% higher than R-22, an additional ~10% higher than MO99.
- HFC-based refrigerant with hydrocarbon component to facilitate mineral oil circulation

WINNER = FREON[™] MO99

R-422D (Genetron®) vs. Freon™ MO99

- R-422D capacity is 8% lower than R-22, similar to MO99.
- Mass flow rate of R-422D is >30% higher than R-22, an additional 20% higher than MO99.
 - Expansion device will likely be undersized and need to be replaced when using R-422D.
- HFC-based refrigerant with hydrocarbon component to facilitate mineral oil circulation

WINNER = FREON[™] MO99

R-424A (RS-44) vs. Freon[™] MO99

- R-424A capacity is 15% lower than R-22, an additional 8% lower than MO99.
- Mass flow rate of R-424A is ~10% higher than R-22, comparable to MO99.
- HFC-based refrigerant with hydrocarbon component to facilitate mineral oil circulation

Refrigerant

	R-438A	R-422D	R-422B	R-421A*	R-424A
		Genetron [®] 422D			RS-44
Brand Name	Freon [™] M099	Freon [™] M029	NU-22B®	Choice™	Cool50
Capacity (%)	-7	-8	-12	-12	-15
COP (%)	-2	-4	-3	-5	-1
Suction Pressure (psi)	-3	+1	-6	-7	-11
Discharge Pressure (psi)	+5	+12	-5	-7	-17
Discharge Temperature (°F)	-30	-39	-37	-36	-38
Temperature Glide (°F)	+7	+5	+6	+6	+5.5
Mass Flow (%)	+12	+32	+20	+21	+12

Performance relative to R-22; (+) is increase, (-) is decrease

Calorimeter Data at AHRI Standard 540 air conditioning conditions

45°F Average Evaporator Temp/115°F Average Condenser Temp/65°F Return Gas Temp/15°F Subcool from Average Condenser Temp

*POE Oil Change typically recommended for "HFC Only" Blends

For more information on the Freon[™] family of refrigerants, or other refrigerant products, visit freon.com or call (800) 235-7882.

The information set forth herein is furnished free of charge and based on technical data that Chemours believes to be reliable. It is intended for use by persons having technical skill, at their own risk. Because conditions of use are outside our control, Chemours makes no warranties, expressed or implied, and assumes no liability in connection with any use of this information. Nothing herein is to be taken as a license to operate under, or a recommendation to infringe, any patents or patent applications.

© 2017 The Chemours Company FC, LLC. Freon[™] and any associated logos are trademarks or copyrights of The Chemours Company FC, LLC. Chemours[™] and the Chemours Logo are trademarks of The Chemours Company.